



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,736	06/13/2006	Simon Udo	ESQ0650.001	5018
26629	7590	06/24/2009	EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (ZPS) 136 S WISCONSIN ST PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074				PAGAN, JENINE MARIE
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3728				
NOTIFICATION DATE			DELIVERY MODE	
06/24/2009			ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

info@zpspatents.com  
rlt@zpspatents.com  
klb@zpspatents.com

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/582,736	UDO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	JENINE M. PAGAN	3728

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JENINE M. PAGAN.

(3) Kevin Rosin.

(2) Gregory Pickett.

(4) Timothy Ziolkowski.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Parkhurst.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussion of the terminology "bridge part" and "spar" and applicant's remarks and arguments from previous amendment. Will appropriately address arguments with applicant's response. Claim 21 was inadvertently missed in prior office action, therefore next office action cannot be made final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jenine M Pagan/ Examiner, Art Unit 3728	/J. Gregory Pickett/ Primary Examiner, AU 3728
---	---